and cosponsored by the majority leader. Senator DOLE. Both bills are pivotal in our goal to reduce Government waste and spending.

First, this legislation directs the Secretary of Health and Human Services to establish a Medicaid spending baseline for each State. Additionally, any State that holds its spending below the baseline would receive a payment equal to 20 percent of the resulting savings to the Federal Government.

This legislation is based on an idea set forth by New York Governor George Pataki, when he testified recently before the House Ways and Means Committee. Many States including my home State of New York, are attempting to reduce the cost of Medicaid programs by greater use of managed care. Through New York's efforts, the Federal Government stands to save nearly \$2 billion. Governor Pataki is right to suggest that if the States can save the Federal Government money through cost-savings initiatives such as Medicaid managed care, then the States should share in the savings as a reward. These efforts have the potential to improve the quality of care for Medicaid beneficiaries as well as dramatically lower the cost to the American taxpayers. Both of these goals have received bipartisan support.

We must provide States with the incentive to make their Medicaid programs more efficient. This is precisely what this bill would do. No State would be penalized for spending above the baseline, but those that spend below the baseline would be rewarded. Rewarding States that save the Federal Government money is not only fair, but makes sense. Comprehensive and systematic reform is required in order to preserve Medicaid for future generations.

This bill is long overdue and necessary to preserve Federal and State programs for the health care of our Nation's low-income fami-

DAVID STEINER: IN RECOGNITION OF OUTSTANDING PUBLIC SERV-

HON. TOM LANTOS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Tuesday, March 28, 1995

Mr. LANTOS. Mr. Speaker, it gives me great pleasure to rise today to recognize the extraordinary accomplishments of a distinguished and public spirited American and good friend, David Steiner. Over the years, David has shown leadership and unparalleled intelligence when tackling the immensely difficult endeavors he frequently undertakes, whether it is developing an innovative and functional industrial office park under a tight deadline or developing meaningful answers to our complex foreign policy questions in the Middle East. David deserves the highest possible commendation for his many accomplish-

David's distinguished career dates back to the Korean war. When called to duty, First Lieutenant Steiner led a military team building bridges and hospitals. When he returned home, David became a partner with the Sudler Cos., and he later became its president and CEO. He has been a driving force at this highly successful company for over four decades.

David's career presented him with many challenges and obstacles that less tenacious developers would have been overwhelmed by or simply rejected. In one instance, AT&T approached David to choose a site and design and build an 840,000 square foot electronic research lab within 24 months. He met this challenge, including completing a 40,000 square foot computer center in only 60 days.

David has devoted a great deal of his resources, time, and energy to tackling the difficult problems that Israel faces in the Middle East and he has not been hesitant to fight for what is right. As president emeritus of the American-Israel Political Affairs Committee, David has been an effective and influential friend of Israel. As vice president of the Washington Institute for Near East Policy, David has worked with leaders like Alexander Haig, Jeane Kirkpatrick, and George Shultz. David is also an area chairman for the Anti-Defamation League and vice chairman of the National Jewish Democratic Council. In these diverse and demanding capacities, David has distinguished himself as an enlightened leader with a wealth of ideas.

On April 3, David will receive the National Award of the Orthodox Union Institute for Public Affairs in recognition of his outstanding contributions to the American Jewish community. Surely there is no individual more deserving of this high honor. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in extending our most heartfelt gratitude and admiration to this extraordinary American.

TRIBUTE TO REV. DR. ALLEN EUGENE ORR, SR.

HON. ALCEE L. HASTINGS

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Tuesday, March 28, 1995

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, the State of Florida has suffered a tremendous loss with the passing of Reverend Doctor Allen Eugene Orr, Sr.

Allen Eugene Orr was born on September 16, 1931 in Fern Park, FL, to the Late Reverend E.J. and Sarah Orr. He attended elementary school in Altamonte Springs and was an honor graduate of Hungerford High School in Eatonville, FL. Allen won the Lewis State Scholarship, a 4-year scholarship which was awarded by the State of Florida. He attended Florida A&M College where he received a bachelor of science degree. He attended the University of Vermont on a National Science Foundation grant and also attended Florida State University. He earned a master's degree and subsequently a doctor of education degree from the University of Miami (Florida).

As a commissioned officer in the U.S. Armed Forces, Lieutenant Orr served his country at home and in Germany. As an educator, he devoted over 30 years of his life in Broward County as a teacher of science in the middle and high schools, as an assistant principal and the director of human relations at the county level. He was a member of the Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity, Phi Delta Kappa, and the

He was ordained as an A.M.E. Minister of the Gospel. He was an astute scholar of the Bible, and he radiated an unceasing love for the ministry. He served as pastor of Allen Chapel A.M.E. Church in Miami, FL; St. Paul A.M.E. Church in Delray Beach, FL, and Mt. Zion A.M.E. Church in West Hollywood, FL.

Reverend Doctor Orr was united in holy matrimony to Dorothy Jackson in Ft. Lauderdale, FL. To this union, three sons and a daughter were born.

Allen was a personal friend since childhood. He will be sorely missed by all.

THE NATIONAL REVIEW-HOME OF THE BIG WHOPPER

HON. PATRICIA SCHROEDER

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, March 28, 1995

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, one of our colleagues sent around a Dear Colleague today enclosing "Mud Path," an article by Washington attorney George Tobin, published in the April 3, 1995, National Review. This article purports to critique the ethics charges lodged against Speaker of the House NEWT

Steve Jost, who has assisted former Representative Ben Jones in filing some of the ethics charges, spoke with Mr. Tobin after his article appeared. Mr. Tobin admitted he had not read the complaints filed against Mr. GING-RICH, but instead had relied on "summaries of the articles provided to me" from people "I'm not at liberty to disclose."

This will give you some idea of the level of scholarship involved in preparing Mr. Tobin's article. He hadn't even bothered to read the complaints he was allegedly critiquing.

Mr. Jost subsequently submitted to the National Review a letter critiquing Mr. Tobin's critique. In short, Mr. Jost found that Mr. Tobin had told enough whoppers to open a Burger King.

Mr. Jost's letter follows:

FRAILOLI/JOST, INC., Washington, DC, March 22, 1996.

Editor, NATIONAL REVIEW,

Attn: Karina Rollins. New York, NY.

DEAR EDITOR: In what might earn the championship trophy for political hypocrisy, George Tobin attacks what he labels "false ethics charges" against Newt Gingrich with a diatribe of patently false assertions. His article "Mud Path" contains no less than fourteen whoppers so grand in scale we're lucky Mr. Tobin hacks away at legal briefs and not cherry trees, or Washington, D.C. would be without it's annual spring festival.

I called Mr. Tobin and asked him if he had read the complaints against Gingrich. He told me to read "summaries of the complaints provided to me" from people "I'm not at liberty to disclose"

Maybe Gingrich's staff wrote "Mud Path" and asked Mr. Tobin to put his name to the article. If so, it makes one wonder why they feel compelled to prevaricate so much. If not, and this is an example of Mr. Tobin's attention to detail, it is clear why he supports tort reform. It's probably tough winning a contingency case when you get so many facts wrong. With contingency law, unless you win you don't get paid.

We're not nit-picking here. In a complex, fact intensive case like the one against Gingrich, dates and evidence matter. Consider these fourteen instances where Tobin's article twists the facts, and anyone will understand why the case against Gingrich has been under review by the Ethics Committee for more than six months.